

# VARIABILITY OF SOIL TYPES IN WETLAND MEADOWS IN THE SOUTH OF THE CHILEAN PATAGONIA

Ladislava Filipová<sup>1\*</sup>, Radim Hédl<sup>2</sup>, and Nilo Covacevich C.<sup>3</sup>

## ABSTRACT

The wetland meadows and pastures (vegas) of the agricultural zone of the Magallanes Region and the Chilean Patagonia are productive and intensively exploited ecosystems. However, there is scarce data about the typology and the physical and chemical properties of the soils that determine the agricultural potential of *vegas* sites. Sampling of the main horizons of 47 soil profiles was conducted throughout the area. The profiles were described in the field and consequently classified according to the soil typology system of the WRB (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006). Analyses of bulk and particle densities, capillary water capacity, pH (H<sub>2</sub>O), pH (CaCl<sub>2</sub>), texture, organic material, C:N ratio, electrical conductivity, effective cation exchange capacity, N, P, Ca-Mg-K-Na, exchangeable Al, extractable Al, sulfur SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, B, and micronutrients (Cu-Zn-Mn-Fe) were carried out. The most frequently recorded groups of soil types in the studied *vegas* were Histosols - peat soils (20 profiles), and Fluvisols (19). Gleysols (3), Vertisols (1), Regosols (3), Solonchaks (1) and Solonetz (1) were detected with much less frequency. There is also considerable variability in soil properties among and within the groups of soil types. The principal differences between the Histosols and the Fluvisols are the content of organic matter (often peat), pH level (related to the absence/presence of carbonates) and associated soil properties. Fluvisols are more susceptible to salinization under conditions of aridity, whereas the main threat to Histosols is artificial drainage.

**Key words:** Histosol, Fluvisol, peat, soil properties, salinization, soil degradation.

## INTRODUCTION

In the valleys and canyons of Patagonia, produced by the fusion of Pleistocene glaciers, there are wetland meadows locally called *vegas* or *mallines* (Collantes and Faggi, 1999).

According to the Agricultural and Cattle Service (SAG, 2004), the *vegas* are damp and fertile areas owing to the topography and the characteristics of the soil profile, characterized by a strata of clay at varying depths. High yield grasses with high forage value grow in these areas in spring and summer.

The information about the soils in the *vegas* of the Chilean Patagonia is scarce. Although Díaz *et al.* (1959-1960) analyzed the soils of the entire region, their study

considered only zonal soils (well developed) and azonal soils (soils that are not well developed because of their youth). The study did not include intra-zonal halomorphic and hydromorphic soils (soils that reflect the dominant influence of local factors or of the topography, over the normal effects of climate and vegetation). Likewise, the soils were classified solely on the basis of their morphological and physical characteristics, because of which the study should be considered as tentative (Sáez, 1994). Another study that encompassed the entire region and a wide variety of habitats, including some types of *vegas*, was undertaken by Sáez (1994). However, this work did not characterize the entire soil profile, but only the surface layer of 20 cm of thickness. To the best of our knowledge, there is no modern synopsis of *vega* soils based on morphological, chemical and physical characteristics yet.

In the steppes, where the water deficiency is aggravated by the high levels of evapotranspiration caused by strong winds, the *vegas* present communities of high productivity (Covacevich and Ruz, 1996; SAG, 2003) that are used intensively by cattle, and that in some cases present signs of overgrazing (Collantes and Faggi,

<sup>1</sup>University of Jan Evangelista Purkyně (UJEP), Faculty of the Environment, Králova výšina 3132/7, 400 96 Ústí nad Labem, Czech Republic. \*Corresponding author (ladislava.filipova@ujep.cz).

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Botanic-Academic of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Brno, Czech Republic.

<sup>3</sup>Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias INIA, Casilla 13, Rengo, Chile.

Received: 07 January 2009.

Accepted: 16 April 2009.

1999). Owing to topographic factors, the *vegas* suffer very little from natural erosion. Being located in areas with flat or concave relief, they are protected from wind and saturated by water, which allows the development of abundant vegetation (Cruz and Lara, 1987).

SAG published guides on the use of grasslands in Tierra del Fuego and Magallanes in 2003 and 2004, that mention the current conditions of grasslands, their value, applied applications for the farm management, as well as information on overgrazing and erosion (SAG, 2003; 2004). However, changes in soil properties and their relation to vegetation are not discussed. Notable among recent works are the studies of Kleinebecker *et al.* (2007; 2008) about the factors (climatic and biochemical) that affect the botanic composition of the peatlands in the western part of the Region of Magallanes and Chilean Antarctica. These studies focused on the sites least affected by anthropic action in the wettest area of the region and omit the *vegas* of the steppes that are conditioned by local topographical and pedogenetic factors.

Sheep farming has become the base of the regional economy, representing 50% of ovine herds in the country (Pérez *et al.*, 1993). Pasture ecosystems, and above all the *vegas*, are essential for sustaining sheep farming. Because of this, the prudent use of the *vegas* is indispensable from the point of view of both protection of the natural environment and the economic interests of sheep farmers in the Magallanes.

The present work seeks to present an updated description of the soil types of the *vegas* of the south of the Chilean Patagonia, indicating their properties and the variability among types, as well as identifying changes in the soil that can contribute to their degradation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study area

The study covers the area of agricultural use in the Region of Magallanes and the Chilean Antarctic (Provinces of Última Esperanza, Magallanes and Tierra del Fuego) (48°36'-56°30' S; 66°25'-75°40' W).

The area is characterized by its cold steppe climate (and the western part for its trans-Andean climate, with steppe degeneration). Annual mean temperatures fluctuate around 7 °C (Díaz *et al.*, 1959-1960). The thermal regime is characterized by annual thermal amplitude within a relatively low temperature range. The pluviometric gradient in the studied area varies from west to east from 932 mm annually (1000 mm according to Schneider *et al.*, 2003) to 233 mm (Pérez *et al.*, 1993), with lower values in the north of the continental sector, reaching 150 mm (Collantes and Faggi, 1999).

Colonization of the region began in 1843 with the establishment of Fort Bulnes. The first sheep arrived in 1877, representing the main base of future wealth in the Magallanes (Martinic, 1986). The most important changes caused by the presence of livestock can be noted in botanic composition, and agricultural activities are reflected in changes in soil properties as well. Nevertheless, this area still presents conditions very close to the natural ones, given that no artificial alterations of hydric regime have occurred. These conditions allow to study pedogenetic processes and edaphic properties in almost intact environments.

### Sampling

The first part of the study was conducted at the experimental field of the Kampenaiké Regional Research Center of the Institute for Agricultural Research (INIA), located 60 km north of the city of Punta Arenas. Using satellite images, areas with soils saturated by water were chosen, based on the spectral behavior of water in the respective intervals of the electromagnetic spectrum, with the objective was to cover the maximum variability of typologies of *vegas* (24 profiles). Subsequently, the study was extended to different types of *vegas* in farms and ranches throughout the region (23 profiles). In total, 47 profiles of different types of *vegas* were excavated (Table 1). The following characteristics were described in the observed horizons of the profiles (FAO, 2006): color (Munsell color chart), texture, moisture, consistency, structure, presence of stones, roots, edaphon and character of the limits. According to the soil type, the depth of the description varied between 70 and 120 cm (the minimum of 34 cm in Kampenaiké-Lucho 2, and the maximum of 130 cm in Kampenaiké-Cabeza del Mar 2, Tres Hermanos 2 and Oasy Harbour 2). The soil profile was also photo-documented.

All the profiles were classified according to the guidelines of the international system of the World Reference Base WRB (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006). The use of the FAO classification is adequate for working purposes, given that it classifies fluvisols and gleysols as homogeneous groups and defines the groups of Calcisols, Gypsisols, Solonchak and Solonetz. The equivalent soil groups of Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2006) are mentioned.

The soil samples were taken from each horizon of more than (5–) 10 cm in width. The sample of approximately 1 kg were taken in plastic bags for analysis and other samples were taken in metal cores (100 cm<sup>3</sup>), beforehand weighted, for determination of physical characteristics. The samples from bags were air dried and passed through a sieve with 2 mm diameter holes to separate fine earth that was subsequently analyzed.

**Table 1. Location of the studied profiles.**

Ranch	UTM location	Kampenaiké-farm	UTM location
Oazy Harbour 1	19F0391324;4164299	Cerro Caballo 1	19F0368505;4157374
Oazy Harbour 2	19F0393351;4164302	Cerro Caballo 2	19F0067775;4156768
Quinta Esperanza	19F0401676;4147744	Cerro Caballo 3	19F0367047;4157897
Shotel Aike	18F0677397;4311936	Trinchera	19F0365593;4156227
Laguna Blanca 1	19F0353128;4202000	Potrero sin nombre-O2	19F0368785;4159390
Laguna Blanca 2	19F0352595;4204986	Cabeza del Mar 1	19F0071016;4160004
Laguna Blanca 3	19F0361852;4225847	Cabeza del Mar 2	19F0371700;4162460
Domaike 1	19F0358183;4169455	Vega Josefina	19F0362335;4160175
Domaike 2	19F0352157;4173300	Parque/Josefina	19F0370477;4165476
Domaike 3	19F0353014;4170020	Pesa 2	19F0363954;4163124
Los Coipos	19F0380126;4162433	Potrero sin nombre-Aa	19F0368063;4160095
El Álamo	19F0515511;4066613	Lucho 2	19F0071102;4163024
Miriana	19F0477485;4132245	Gali 2	19F0366279;4158196
Cerro Castillo	—	Cerro Redondo 1	19F0364776;4159884
Vega Vieja	19F0396507;4205526	Cerro Redondo 2	19F0364537;4160050
Estancia Josefina	19F0362047;4166475	Potrero sin nombre-M2	19F0369847;4159742
Estancia Springhill	19F0477297;4165874	Campo El Monte 1	19F0067913;4162976
Tres Hermanos 1	19F0481983;4075614	Campo El Monte 2	19F0369144;4161668
Tres Hermanos 2	19F0484709;4073821	Gali 1-1	19F0367043;4158832
El Calafate	19F0363249;4169525	Gali 1-2	19F0366976;4158917
Puerto Consuelo	18F0664685;4282915	Sacrificios	19F0365064;4157370
San Isidro	19F0520190;4121093	Los Sauces 1	19F0372438;4159941
Las Coles	19F0315295;4176077	Los Sauces 2	19F0372025;4159996
		Consumo	19F0070527;4159197

UTM: Universal Transverse Mercator.

### Analysis of soil samples

Measurements of pH in water (soil:water suspension 1:2-5; potentiometry) were made in the laboratory of INIA Kampenaiké, as well as evaluations of physical properties: bulk density, particle density, capillarity (the quantity of water that the soil is capable to absorb and retain during suction). The metal cores were dried in an electric oven at 105 °C for 24 h and then weighed to estimate bulk density.

The following parameters were subsequently analyzed: texture (Bouyoucos hydrometry method), organic matter (wet combustion method and determination by colorimetry), C/N ratio (combustion oven), pH to CaCl<sub>2</sub> (soil:solution suspension 1:2.5; potentiometry), electrical conductivity (suspension 1:5; conductometry), effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC, saturation with sodium acetate, pH 7; by atomic absorption spectrophotometry, AAS), N mineral (NO<sub>3</sub> + NH<sub>4</sub>) (extraction of potassium chloride 2 N, after segmented flow injection), P Olsen (extraction of sodium bicarbonate 0.5 M pH 8.5; after segmented flow injection), Ca-Mg-K-Na (extraction with ammonium acetate 1 M pH 7, AAS), exchange Al (extraction with chloride of K 1 N, EAA), extractable

Al (extraction with ammonium acetate pH 4.8; AAS), sulphur SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (extraction with calcium phosphate 0-01 M; turbidimetry), B (extraction with Ca chloride 0.01 M; colorimetry), micronutrients (Cu-Zn-Mn-Fe) (extraction with diethylenetriamine pentaacetate acid, DPTA, pH 7; AAS). The analyses were done in the Soil Laboratory of INIA in Chillán.

### Statistics

The descriptive statistics (calculations of averages, standard deviation, minimum and maximum) were proceeded in the program STATISTICA, version 8.0. (StatSoft, 2007). The difference between the histosols and the fluvisols in terms of chemical and physical characteristics were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test with the same statistical program. The high organic matter content in the majority of the samples impeded the analysis of texture, because of which the texture was excluded from the analysis.

The remaining soil types were not included in the analysis because they were not well represented in the study (few repetitions that can not be processed correctly

with statistical methods) and because they did not present properties typical for the majority of the sampled *vegas* in the area.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Reference soil groups

There is a wide variability of *vegas* related to sedimentological and hydrological conditions that affect pedogenesis. Thus, within a relatively restricted area, such as the experimental station of Kampenaike, there are all types of *vegas* and its combinations: *vegas* near rivers/brooks or springs; *vegas* that surround seasonal or permanent lakes; *vegas* in concave depressions with impermeable clay horizons (including *vegas* over lacustrine sediments); and *vegas* on sediments at the bottom of slopes.

This variability also determines the variability of the reference soil groups. The most common groups in the area are the histosols and the fluvisols.

### Histosols (HS)

Histosols (20 profiles, 43%) are characterized by the presence of a histic or folic horizon of 40 cm or more of thickness that begins within 30 cm from the surface. The folic horizon consists of well-aired organic soil matter. Histic horizons are saturated by water for one or more months in most years, which results in the presence of poorly aired organic matter (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006). Some 90% of all Histosols (38% of all the *vegas* sampled) have hyperhumic properties (a high organic C content in the upper meter of soil according to IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006). The stones are not present in any profile within approximately 1 m from the soil surface. Only five profiles have a stony horizon (4-30% of the volume) at a depth of more than 1 m. Organic material is formed by vegetal remains, mostly from grasses and sphagnum, and the layer of humolites can reach up to 4 m and more, while it normally measures around 1 m.

Among the Histosols, we distinguish the following second-level units of the reference soil groups (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006) according to their morphological (observed in the field), physical and chemical characteristics (specified by laboratory analysis):

**Ombri-fibric Histosols – Haplofibrists:** This soil is characterized by the fact that two thirds or more of the organic matter (by volume) is formed by recognizable vegetal tissue, and by its moisture level, conditioned by a groundwater. It develops in a proximity of brooks and/or over a *masacote* (impermeable clay layer formed during the withdrawal of Pleistocene ice, Sáez, 1994). The layers

of organic matter in many cases have a thickness of more than 1 m.

- *Vegas* from: Shotel Aike; Domaíke 3; Miriana; Tres Hermanos 1; Tres Hermanos 2; El Calafate; Puerto Consuelo; Vega Josefina; Lucho 2; Cerro Redondo 1; Cerro Redondo 2.

**Ombri-folic Histosols – Haplofibrists:** Histosols with a folic horizon originating under a regime of moisture conditioned by a layer of water. It is a heterogeneous group of soils that have developed close to rivers with fluvial contributions (Domaíke 1, Kampenaike), on glacial sediments and *masacote* (Vega Vieja) and on probably lacustrine sediments (Kampenaike) or near a spring (Kampenaike). The layers of organic matter have considerable thickness.

- *Vegas* from: Domaíke 1; Vega Vieja; Los Coipos; Cabeza del Mar 2; Gali 2; Los Sauces 2.

**Ombric Histosols – Haplohemists:** This is a soil affected by a groundwater in its development, without other characteristics. The soil developed at Josefina Ranch on basic sediments that are probably of lacustrine origin (presence of mollusc shells). The material of origin clearly affected pH, which is only weakly acidic.

**Folic Histosols – Haplohemists:** The Histosols from Kampenaike Station (Aa), very similar to the previous soil. Nevertheless, drainage has caused the development of a folic horizon. After the loss of water, deep cracks have formed in the organic matter, that limits to a great extent the use of the *vega* as a pasture.

**Episalic Histosols – Sulphemists:** The *vega* soil rich in organic matter, situated in Kampenaike (Consumo). It has a parasalic horizon (a horizon with secondary enrichment of readily soluble salts found within 1 m from the soil surface). The thick layer of organic matter is degraded by the deep drainage. Likewise, salt solutions have precipitated in the subsurface horizon forming, together with dry organic matter, an extremely hard layer with a thickness of 27 cm. The cemented salic horizon is almost impenetrable for plant roots. The drainage has also provoked deep cracks in the organic matter (dozens of centimeters) that impede the use as a pasture. A horizon at a depth of 96-100 cm contains light yellow dust, which is probably jarosite ( $\text{KFe}_3(\text{SO}_4)_2(\text{OH})_6$ ). The analysis (pH- $\text{H}_2\text{O} < 3.5$  and the high Fe and S content) supports this theory.

### Properties of the Histosols

The average values of the measured characteristics in the surface-epipedon horizon (0-20 cm) are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2. Properties of epipedons of Histosols (N = 18) and Fluvisols (N = 18).**

	Histosols				Fluvisols			
	Average	Minimum	Maximum	DE	Average	Minimum	Maximum	DE
pH-H <sub>2</sub> O	6.20	5.10	7.70	0.89	7.60	5.60	10.30	1.00
pH-CaCl <sub>2</sub>	5.90	4.70	7.50	0.95	7.30	4.80	9.60	0.98
OM	60.64	21.69	84.13	15.30	31.77	1.79	75.29	22.63
N	57.68	14.94	168.19	37.59	33.19	1.35	77.99	22.61
P	20.46	3.36	59.22	16.32	20.15	5.90	94.31	20.36
K	312.68	33.55	682.61	176.72	589.45	138.88	1909.57	417.92
Ca	46.04	15.45	85.85	19.92	41.04	14.06	100.92	21.22
Mg	10.80	4.65	16.34	3.24	12.03	3.32	22.08	5.86
K <sub>int</sub>	0.80	0.09	1.75	0.45	1.51	0.36	4.88	1.07
Na	5.82	1.10	13.48	3.45	12.14	1.47	31.75	8.34
Al <sub>int</sub>	0.04	0.01	0.11	0.03	0.03	0.004	0.08	0.02
ECEC	63.49	30.59	114.26	24.07	66.74	34.98	137.84	27.18
%sat. Al	0.09	0.01	0.35	0.11	0.06	0.01	0.23	0.06
Zn	9.00	0.94	28.86	7.90	6.02	0.74	27.29	6.38
Fe	1022.64	22.53	2156.27	736.77	378.77	9.57	1900.32	478.71
Cu	2.35	0.02	6.69	2.24	2.29	0.31	4.07	1.20
Mn	66.85	6.28	213.67	59.10	52.10	4.21	295.13	66.85
B	3.07	0.98	6.12	1.43	3.93	0.45	14.34	3.79
S	191.85	5.47	480.33	140.42	181.11	2.48	502.26	145.49
Al <sub>ext</sub>	45.28	1.00	300.0	74.59	27.72	0.00	191.00	43.87
CE 1:5	0.87	0.24	3.57	1.03	1.82	0.20	5.15	1.41
C/N	13.95	10.71	19.88	2.68	18.44	9.91	90.44	18.40
%N	2.50	1.34	3.19	0.50	1.55	0.05	3.25	1.02
%C	33.93	21.04	39.60	4.63	19.77	4.31	36.09	10.34
DR	-	-	-	-	0.97	0.51	1.61	0.33
DA	0.24	0.12	0.42	0.08	0.49	0.18	1.30	0.31
Cap	59.67	33.20	87.00	15.81	56.80	26.30	78.50	16.96

pH-H<sub>2</sub>O: pH in water; pH-CaCl<sub>2</sub>: pH in CaCl<sub>2</sub>; OM: organic matter; K<sub>int</sub>: exchangeable potassium; Al<sub>int</sub>: exchangeable aluminum; ECEC: effective cation exchange capacity; %sat. Al: Al saturation capacity; Al<sub>ext</sub>: extractable aluminum; CE 1:5: electrical conductivity; DA: bulk density; DR: particle density; Cap: capillarity; DE: standard deviation; OM: %; N, P, K: mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; Ca, Mg, K<sub>int</sub>, Na, Al: cmol<sub>(c)</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>; Zn, Fe, Cu, Mn, B, S, Al<sub>ext</sub>: mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; CE: dS m<sup>-1</sup>.

The horizons of Cabeza del Mar 2 and Domaiké 1 are not included in the comparisons because the data sets are incomplete.

The pH values of the surface horizons are in the range of moderately to slightly acidic. Sáez (1994) calculated an average pH of 5.6 for the organic *vegas* that correspond to histosols. The different methodologies used can partially explain the differences. However, climatic change resulting in relatively warmer and drier summers (climatic data from Kampenaike) could also affect soil properties.

Other soil properties, such as total N, C/N ratio, P, N percentage, C percentage and soil density are linked to the high organic matter content.

In accordance with Ruz and Covacevich (1989), N deficiency, which appears generalized throughout the region, is the main factor affecting soils in the area. They

also emphasized that available water is a limiting factor for plant growth because it is used with low efficiency given the lack of adequate nutrition. However, these authors did not include *vegas* in their study. The Histosols are rich in N (with medium and high values), with average N values twice as high as those reported by Ruz and Covacevich (1989) for prairies.

The ratio of C/N < 25 observed in all of the samples indicates that organic matter is in an easily decomposable state, which implies that the soil is rich in assimilable inorganic N (Duchaufour, 1987).

P content is low given the scarcity of phosphate minerals (Sáez, 1994). The average values obtained for Histosols are equal to those found in the *vegas* sampled by Sáez (1994), who points out a close relationship between the content of Al extractable by AcNH<sub>4</sub> pH 4.5 and the P

retention capacity (KPo). The higher is the extractable Al content, the higher is the KPo. The average extractable Al content in this study was in the low range. However, no correlation was found between P and extractable Al in the sampled soils. Only one sample had relatively high P and extractable Al values, a *vega* that was probably occupied by a scrub forest that had been removed (and in part burned) to adapt the soil to pasture. Sáez (1994) also found high P levels in this type of area. The surface horizons contain high to very high levels of available K, which is particularly due to the accumulation of salts.

According to our data, very high very contents of the exchange cations Ca, Mg, Na, K are related to conditions of aridity. Sáez (1994) also reported high values of cationic exchange capacity for the epipedon, though not as high as those in this study.

The exchangeable Al content is very low in the majority of cases, as it is the Al saturation capacity of the complex of cationic exchange.

The values of the micronutrient contents of Zn, Fe, Cu, Mn and B varied considerably within the group of Histosols. The averages are generally high and micronutrient deficiency is improbable. Three samples present values of B higher than 4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, which is toxic for the majority of vegetal species (Sáez, 1994). Likewise, the analyzed samples present a high content of S (sulfate anion, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>), which concurs with the results of Sáez (1994).

The average of conductivity values is in the normal range. The majority of the histosols do not have problems of salinity.

Organic soils are characterized by their low bulk density, which is closely related to high organic matter content. On the other hand, maximum capillary capacity, which represents a high capacity of the soil to retain water accessible for plants, is higher owing to the presence of OM.

In some cases mild anthropic erosion can be observed in the form of mounds, caused by compacting and trampling, which generally appear under conditions of heavy animal load (Cruz and Lara, 1987).

The average values of measured characteristics in the C and H sub-surface horizons are shown in Table 3.

### Fluvisols (FL)

Fluvisols are soils with fluvic material (refers to fluvial, marine and lacustrine sediments that receive fresh material at regular intervals or have received it in the recent past). It begins within 25 cm from the soil surface and continues up to a depth of at least 50 cm from the soil surface. Fluvic soil is stratified (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006) and its textures vary from fine sand, clay-sandy or clay.

Fluvisols (40% of the profiles, in total 19) include different types of soils, given the variability of

environmental conditions that occur in perilacunary areas and in river basins.

**Haplic Fluvisols – Typic Fluvaquents:** This soil presents a typical expression of fluvisol, originating on fluvial sediments of sand in the proximity of a river. In Kampenaike-Cabeza del Mar 1.

**Fluvisols (humic) – Fluvaquents:** This soil is found on Quinta Esperanza ranch. It presents a high organic C content (more than 1% of weight of organic C in the fine earth fraction up to a depth of 50 cm from the soil surface). This soil originates on fluvial sediments of gravel in a river meander. The A horizon (10-40 cm) contains around 25% of the stones (coarse sand and fine gravel) from contributions from the river.

**Histic Fluvisols – Fluvaquents:** This is heterogenic group of four profiles (Oazy Harbour 1; Domaiké 2; Estancia Springhill; M2). Soils with a histic horizon have developed on fluvial sediments (i.e. Domaiké 2) or lacustrine sediments (i.e. Oazy Harbour 1, presence of mollusc shells in the sediments).

**Thapto-Histic Fluvisols – Thapto-Histic Fluvaquents:** This soil presents a histic horizon buried between 40 and 100 cm from the surface, and have originated on lacustrine sediments at the edge of a seasonal lagoon in Kampenaike (O2). The buried horizon represents a prolonged terrestrial phase in which organic material accumulated. Subsequently, during the aquatic phase, the organic horizon was covered by clay lacustrine sediments of a thickness of 20 cm.

**Gleyi-Histic Fluvisols – Fluvaquents:** This soil is characterized by a histic horizon and a horizon with gleyic properties, which is within 100 cm from the surface. It developed under conditions of permanent saturation with groundwater, because of which the C6 horizon shows a pattern of blue-green gleyic colors. The relief of the *vega* (Cerro Caballo 3) and the presence of mollusc shells in the clay horizon indicate the lacustrine origin of the material.

**Endogleyic Fluvisols – Fluvaquents:** The Fluvisols in which gleyic properties begin at the depth of 63 cm from the soil surface. It is found on the shores of a seasonal lagoon in an area with poorly developed vegetation (Campo El Monte 2). The soil is poor in OM and salts precipitate in surface horizons in the form of spherical aggregates. The entire profile presents an extremely high pH level (between 8.5-9.4). However, it is one of three profiles with living meso- and macro-organisms (earthworms).

**Table 3. Properties of the horizons C and H of Histosols (N = 16).**

	Histosols				Fluvisols			
	Average	Minimum	Maximum	DE	Average	Minimum	Maximum	DE
pH-H <sub>2</sub> O	6.20	5.10	7.70	0.89	7.60	5.60	10.30	1.00
pH-CaCl <sub>2</sub>	5.90	4.70	7.50	0.95	7.30	4.80	9.60	0.98
MO	60.64	21.69	84.13	15.30	31.77	1.79	75.29	22.63
N	57.68	14.94	168.19	37.59	33.19	1.35	77.99	22.61
P	20.46	3.36	59.22	16.32	20.15	5.90	94.31	20.36
K	312.68	33.55	682.61	176.72	589.45	138.88	1909.57	417.92
Ca	46.04	15.45	85.85	19.92	41.04	14.06	100.92	21.22
Mg	10.80	4.65	16.34	3.24	12.03	3.32	22.08	5.86
K <sub>int</sub>	0.80	0.09	1.75	0.45	1.51	0.36	4.88	1.07
Na	5.82	1.10	13.48	3.45	12.14	1.47	31.75	8.34
Al <sub>int</sub>	0.04	0.01	0.11	0.03	0.03	0.004	0.08	0.02
ECEC	63.49	30.59	114.26	24.07	66.74	34.98	137.84	27.18
%sat. Al	0.09	0.01	0.35	0.11	0.06	0.01	0.23	0.06
Zn	9.00	0.94	28.86	7.90	6.02	0.74	27.29	6.38
Fe	1022.64	22.53	2156.27	736.77	378.77	9.57	1900.32	478.71
Cu	2.35	0.02	6.69	2.24	2.29	0.31	4.07	1.20
Mn	66.85	6.28	213.67	59.10	52.10	4.21	295.13	66.85
B	3.07	0.98	6.12	1.43	3.93	0.45	14.34	3.79
S	191.85	5.47	480.33	140.42	181.11	2.48	502.26	145.49
Al <sub>ext</sub>	45.28	1.00	300.0	74.59	27.72	0.00	191.00	43.87
CE 1:5	0.87	0.24	3.57	1.03	1.82	0.20	5.15	1.41
C/N	13.95	10.71	19.88	2.68	18.44	9.91	90.44	18.40
%N	2.50	1.34	3.19	0.50	1.55	0.05	3.25	1.02
%C	33.93	21.04	39.60	4.63	19.77	4.31	36.09	10.34
DR	-	-	-	-	0.97	0.51	1.61	0.33
DA	0.24	0.12	0.42	0.08	0.49	0.18	1.30	0.31
Cap	59.67	33.20	87.00	15.81	56.80	26.30	78.50	16.96

pH-H<sub>2</sub>O: pH in water; pH-CaCl<sub>2</sub>: pH in CaCl<sub>2</sub>; OM: organic matter; K<sub>int</sub>: exchangeable potassium; Al<sub>int</sub>: exchangeable aluminum; ECEC: effective cation exchange capacity; %sat. Al: Al saturation capacity; Al<sub>ext</sub>: extractable aluminum; CE 1:5: electrical conductivity; DA: bulk density; DR: particle density; Cap: capillarity; DE: standard deviation; OM: %; N, P, K: mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; Ca, Mg, K<sub>int</sub>, Na, Al: cmol<sub>(c)</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>; Zn, Fe, Cu, Mn, B, S, Al<sub>ext</sub>: mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; CE: dS m<sup>-1</sup>.

**Gleyi-episalic Fluvisols – Halaquepts:** This is a soil that developed in Kampenaike (Cerro Caballo 2) on lacustrine sediments. It has a shallow sub-surface horizon with gleyic properties and a salic horizon (with a secondary enrichment of readily soluble salts). The salts precipitate in the form of spherical aggregates that are soft and pulverulent when dry.

**Fluvisols (calcaric) – Endoaquepts:** This soil presents a shallow subsurface horizon with accumulation of secondary calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>). Among the sampled *vegas* these are the soils that originated over lacustrine sediments. The high Ca carbonate content, dispersed in the matrix, is, in many cases, due to the presence of mollusc shells that inhabited lakes in the past. Calcaric Fluvisols are concentrated in Kampenaike, in the

sectors relatively close to the Straits of Magallanes (*vegas* Trinchera; Cerro Caballo 1; Gali 1-1). The last profile is from Tierra del Fuego, from San Isidro ranch.

**Calcaric-gleyic Fluvisols – Endoaquepts:** This soil presents gleyic properties, together with the presence of a calcic horizon. It originates on the shores of a seasonal lagoon in Kampenaike (Sacrificios). The scarcity of vegetal cover and the abundance of halo-tolerant plants indicate prolonged flooding by surface water and strong salinization (the pH level is extremely high throughout the profile).

**Calcaric-episalic Fluvisols – Halaquepts:** This is a Fluvisols with high Ca carbonate content and accumulations of salts that originated over lacustrine sediments in Kampenaike (Los Sauces 1). Salts

accumulated in the form of coarse aggregates and hard nodules.

**Calcaric-humic Fluvisols – Humaquepts:** Two profiles (Parque/Josefina; Pesa 2) presented a humic and a calcaric horizons. The soils probably developed over lacustrine sediments.

**Hyposalic Fluvisols – Halaquepts:** This soil is characterized by high electrical conductivity of the saturated extract. It originated over lacustrine sediments in Kampenaike (Gali 1-2) that contain elevated Ca carbonate contents (molluscs) and above all, salts precipitated in the form of veins.

### Properties of Fluvisols

The average values of the characteristic measured in the

surface horizon (epipedon) and in the C and H subsurface horizons are shown in Tables 2 and 4, respectively. In general, Fluvisols form a very heterogeneous soil group.

The pH values are in the range of moderately acidic to strongly alkaline, while the majority of the samples exhibit a neutral pH to alkaline. Only three samples have a pH less than 7.

There is considerable variability in OM content and in related properties (total N, N percentage, C percentage, C/N ratio, density), which is due to the presence of a histic or humic horizon (rich in OM) in a major part of the profiles.

The average P content is medium. The contents of exchangeable bases are from high to very high in almost all the samples owing to salt accumulations in surface horizons, which also raises conductivity values. More

**Table 4. Properties of the Fluvisols horizons C (N = 56) and H (N = 10).**

	Horizon C				Horizon H			
	Average	Minimum	Maximum	DE	Average	Minimum	Maximum	DE
pH-H <sub>2</sub> O	8.40	5.70	10.30	0.77	6.90	5.60	7.60	0.73
pH-CaCl <sub>2</sub>	7.90	5.60	9.60	0.76	6.70	4.80	7.50	0.88
MO	3.77	0.01	64.28	8.68	53.18	32.39	75.29	11.90
N	6.67	1.00	39.06	7.59	52.28	7.44	93.48	24.96
P	4.66	1.00	70.16	10.99	21.00	2.85	94.31	26.89
K	335.32	15.45	1909.57	321.53	352.31	138.88	753.57	210.15
Ca	27.73	3.63	125.55	24.24	48.72	24.46	65.93	14.95
Mg	5.58	0.88	26.62	4.65	12.91	6.16	23.08	5.16
K <sub>int</sub>	0.86	0.04	4.88	0.82	0.90	0.36	1.93	0.54
Na	6.92	0.42	40.93	9.53	10.55	1.37	26.56	7.92
Al <sub>int</sub>	0.02	0.004	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.08	0.02
ECEC	41.12	9.30	143.32	31.78	73.12	34.98	107.74	23.97
%sat. Al	0.09	0.01	0.79	0.13	0.06	0.01	0.23	0.07
Zn	0.78	0.09	7.75	1.10	8.39	0.49	27.29	8.35
Fe	49.00	1.02	1030.21	146.07	625.00	40.38	1900.32	537.08
Cu	1.33	0.03	5.68	1.05	2.64	1.03	4.06	1.00
Mn	11.54	0.74	94.26	15.49	67.19	0.68	295.13	85.87
B	1.40	0.09	6.07	1.44	2.76	1.44	6.03	1.49
S	104.67	4.59	700.71	99.44	257.31	20.83	517.79	188.19
Al <sub>ext</sub>	39.00	1.00	191.00	32.65	21.50	4.00	72.00	20.68
CE 1:5	1.60	0.05	10.41	2.39	1.71	0.24	4.45	1.40
C/N	133.26	0.00	813.14	168.81	11.75	10.54	13.33	0.99
%N	0.14	0.00	2.87	0.39	2.33	0.98	3.25	0.71
%C	6.46	0.09	37.12	5.73	27.13	11.21	36.09	7.88
DR	1.37	0.73	2.01	0.33	0.86	0.51	1.25	0.22
DA	0.92	0.31	1.69	0.32	0.33	0.18	0.83	0.20
Cap	47.86	15.50	78.60	14.15	62.85	26.30	82.80	20.98

pH-H<sub>2</sub>O: pH in water; pH-CaCl<sub>2</sub>: pH in CaCl<sub>2</sub>; OM: organic matter; K<sub>int</sub>: exchangeable potassium; Al<sub>int</sub>: exchangeable aluminum; ECEC: effective cation exchange capacity; %sat. Al: Al saturation capacity; Al<sub>ext</sub>: extractable aluminum; CE 1:5: electrical conductivity; DA: bulk density; DR: particle density; Cap: capillarity; DE: standard deviation; OM: %; N, P, K: mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; Ca, Mg, K<sub>int</sub>, Na, Al: cmol<sub>(c)</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>; Zn, Fe, Cu, Mn, B, S, Al<sub>ext</sub>: mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; CE: dS m<sup>-1</sup>.



than half the samples exceeded normal values. There are no problems of Al toxicity given that Al contents are very low in all the soils.

Likewise, micronutrient contents (Zn, Fe, Cu, Mn, B), in the majority of cases, are in the range of high to very high. Four samples have more than 4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of B, which can negatively affect plant growth.

The fluvisols also have a high capacity of water retention.

### **Gleysols (GL)**

Gleysols formed 6% of the profiles analyzed (three profiles in total) and are a minority group of the sampled *vegas*. The soil materials develop gleyic properties if they are completely saturated by groundwater for a period that allows the occurrence of reduction conditions. They have a gleyic color pattern (reddish-brown or yellowish near the face of the aggregates in the upper part of the profile, together with grayish blue coloring in the interior of the aggregate or more deeply in the soil) (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006).

**Histic Gleysols – Humaquepts:** This soil developed close to a river (Oazy Harbour ranch). Conditions of water saturation provoke the accumulation of organic material in the histic horizon, that continues to the depth of 23 m from the surface. The horizon with gleyic properties, with a thickness of more than 60 cm, has a fluvial origin (alluvial sands) and it is continuously saturated by water.

**Para-Histic Gleysols – Humaquepts:** The soil developed in a depression with an impermeable substrate created by a glacier, (Kampenaik Ranch, Campo El Monte). Horizon A shows certain features of a histic horizon. A horizon with a gleyic color pattern begins at the depth of 36 cm.

**Haplic Gleysols – Fluvaquents:** This soil has formed in a concave of a gradual slope near a river meander (El Álamo ranch, Tierra del Fuego). Gleyization is evident in the B horizon, which presents hard aggregates of iron. The soil developed over fluvial sediments of gravel and sand.

The soil types that are not typical of the *vegas* account for 11% of all the profiles analyzed (in total five profiles). Compared to the three aforementioned types, they are relatively rare and present specific characteristics given their high salt content or their texture. They are the following types:

### **Solonchaks (SC)**

These soils have a salic horizon starting within 50 cm from the soil surface.

**Gypsic Solonchaks – Aquisalids:** The profile (which is found in a sector near Laguna Blanca) is characterized by its subsurface gypsic horizon occurring at shallow depth. According to the WRB (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006) this type of horizon is characterized by the fact that is not cemented and contains accumulations of gypsum (CaSO<sub>4</sub> 2H<sub>2</sub>O) in different forms (in this case, in the form of pseudo-mycelia and compact pulverulent accumulations). Salts more soluble than gypsum accumulate in the upper horizon.

### **Solonetz (SZ)**

Solonetz is characterized by a natric horizon. It is a dense subsurface horizon, with higher clay content than overlying horizons. It has a high exchangeable Na and/or Mg content (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006) as well.

**Gleyic Solonetz – Natrargids:** The profile considered in our study have originated on fluvial sediments (Laguna Blanca) in a strongly alkaline environment; the pH (H<sub>2</sub>O) of the natric horizon is extremely basic (9.2). The salts form white pulverulent accumulations. Other pedogenetic processes are salinization, which affects the surface horizon, and slight gleyization, which appears in the horizon below the natric horizon. As well as Solonchaks, Solonetz have formed under conditions of scarce precipitation and heavy evapotranspiration. These types of climatic and edaphic conditions favor the development of halophyte vegetation.

### **Vertisols (VR)**

This soil presents a vertic horizon within 100 cm from its surface. It is a sub-surface horizon that, as a result of shrinking and swelling, has polished and grooved surfaces ('slick and slide') or aggregated structures in the form of wedges or cubes. Vertic horizons are clayey and have a hard to very hard consistency (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006).

**Haplic Vertisols haplic – Endoaquerts:** Among the studied *vegas* we found a unique haplic vertisol at the foothill of Cerro Castillo in the north of the Magallanes Region. The vertic horizon is typical and has a thickness of 55 cm. The presence of reddish orange spots in the gray matrix is an evidence of periodic gleyization. The soil developed in a clay material that probably slid from the surrounding slopes and buried an older soil (a buried A horizon was observed). A 0.5 cm layer formed by the remains of burned vegetation was found at a depth of 80 cm, which could indicate forest fires occurring before the arrival of humans to the region.

### Regosols (RG) - Inceptisols

Regosols are poorly evolved soils without any diagnostic horizons. There are two soil profiles classified as regosols, sampled only for their comparison to the *vegas* soils. Their presence in the areas covered in most cases by substrates from the quaternary, is very common. The first profile belongs to a soil developed in an area with abundant vegetation on the shore of a seasonal lagoon in the Laguna Blanca Ranch. This is probably because this soil was formed at the bottom of a transitory lagoon that later disappeared. The B1 horizon has certain features of an argic horizon (above all accumulations of clay in the canaliculi), which supports our theory of the origin of this soil. Likewise, the porous structure and pale color (a result of washing of reduced Fe) indicates a lacustrine period in the development of the soil (Daniels and Hammer, 1992). The dominant contemporary edaphogenic process is difficult to distinguish. Although the gleyization observed is notable, this soil cannot be classified as a Gleysols. We found a "boleadora" in the surface horizon (at a depth of 20 cm), which shows that this horizon was formed in a sub-recent period (some hundreds of years ago).

The second profile is found in Las Coles ranch. Even though the owner classifies the land as a *vega*, it is in fact a prairie originated from a cut forest. The soil is very shallow, developed on glacial sediments of gravel, only processes of ilimerization are noted, which causes the formation of an eluvial horizon (under a regime of abundant precipitation).

### Comparison of the properties of Histosols and Fluvisols

The comparison of the properties of Fluvisols and histosols is presented in Table 5. The difference in OM content is obvious, given that this differentiates the type of soil. Histosols have an average OM content of 60% in surface horizons, while Fluvisols have an average of around half of that. The average N contents differ significantly, probable because of differences in OM content.

Average P contents are almost the same. The values oscillate between low and medium.

The process of salinization is associated with high K levels (exchangeable and total) and high Na levels of the Fluvisols that are thus differentiated from Histosols. Nevertheless, the contents of other exchangeable cations (Ca, Mg, Al) and of ECEC do not show any difference between Histosols and Fluvisols.

Al is very low in all of the measured forms in the surface horizon of all the samples and does not represent any danger of toxicity for plants. Al content is also related to pH (as pH decreases, Al content increases, Sáez, 1994) and consequently Histosols present higher contents. Nevertheless, the values of the majority of samples are

**Table 5. Comparison of the characteristics of Fluvisols and Histosols (Mann-Whitney U-test,  $p < 0.05$ ).**

	Epipedon	Horizon C	Horizon H
pH-H <sub>2</sub> O	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
pH-CaCl <sub>2</sub>	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
MO	< 0.01	0.01	0.35
N	0.04	< 0.01	0.77
P	0.95	0.85	0.01
K <sub>tot</sub>	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Ca	0.45	0.48	0.65
Mg	0.59	0.18	0.10
K <sub>int</sub>	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Na	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.047
Al <sub>int</sub>	0.12	< 0.01	0.28
ECEC	0.66	0.09	0.27
%sat. Al	0.15	< 0.01	0.15
Zn	0.22	< 0.01	0.35
Fe	0.01	< 0.01	0.15
Cu	0.61	0.06	0.20
Mn	0.24	< 0.01	0.75
B	0.95	0.20	0.18
S	0.90	0.67	0.93
Al <sub>ext</sub>	0.61	0.02	0.04
CE 1:5	0.01	0.03	0.45
C/N	0.98	0.01	0.03
%N	0.01	0.04	0.75
%C	< 0.01	0.86	0.07
Densidad	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.048
Cap	0.63	< 0.01	0.94

pH-H<sub>2</sub>O: pH in water; pH-CaCl<sub>2</sub>: pH al CaCl<sub>2</sub>; OM: organic matter; K<sub>int</sub>: exchangeable potassium; Al<sub>int</sub>: exchangeable aluminum; ECEC: effective cation exchange capacity; %sat. Al: Al saturation capacity; Al<sub>ext</sub>: extractable aluminum; CE 1:5: electrical conductivity; bulk density; Cap: capillarity; OM: %; N, P, K: mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; Ca, Mg, K<sub>int</sub>, Na, Al: cmol<sub>(c)</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup>; Zn, Fe, Cu, Mn, B, S, Al<sub>ext</sub>: mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; CE: dS m<sup>-1</sup>.

within the range of very slight toxicity. Severe toxicity was detected only in the C horizons of parasalic Histosols (Kampenaiké, Consumo).

Organic soils tend to have greater Fe compound content, which together with that of Al, is capable of absorbing P (Richardson 1965, cited by Johnston *et al.*, 2001).

No differences were observed in the C/N ratio. Among the Fluvisols, one of the samples presents a high value (90.4), which indicates stable forms of OM and low availability of organic N. However, if this sample is omitted, the averages of the C/N ratios are almost the same (Fluvisols 14.2, Histosols 13.8). Low values indicate of easily decomposable OM, which determines a high N content in practically all of the

surface horizons of all of the soils. The OM content decreases with the depth. The decrease is more notable in Fluvisols, which also have OM that is more difficult to decompose (high C/N values) in the C horizons. The C and N ratio in the H horizons is higher in Histosols. However, almost all of the samples are within the range of easily decomposable OM.

The different percentages of C and N are related to the differences in OM content. The significant differences in bulk density and particle density are also linked to OM content. Owing to the high OM content, Histosols have significantly lower density and higher water retention capacity.

### CONCLUSIONS

The predominant soils in the *vegas* are the Histosols and the Fluvisols, and to a certain degree, the Gleysols. The major differences between the Histosols and the Fluvisols is that the Histosols have higher organic matter content (owing to the humolites originating from insufficient mineralization under the conditions created by the high groundwater level) and the pH level, which is higher in Fluvisols (because in the majority of cases there are lacustrine sediments with a high content of mollusc shells). Within each group there is considerable variability owing to the topography of the terrain, the hydric regime and management, which are characteristics specific to almost every *vega*. Macroclimatic conditions (above all precipitation) probably affects soil properties as well. The results presented indicate that there is a considerable variability in the properties of the *vegas*.

The *vegas* are important resources for storing water at the local level. This is why it is necessary that a great precaution has to be taken when intervening in them. Although drainage can stimulate the mineralization of N and its accessibility to plants, at the same time organic soil is compacted and deep cracks are produced. The Histosols are among the most sensitive soils, above all to changes in the hydric regime.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to: Alex Muñoz from the Universidad de Magallanes for drying the samples; all the workers and technicians at INIA CRI Kampenaiké, above all to Luis Obando; to Consuelo Sáez and to Manuel Pimentel for correction of the text; and to the ranchers who allowed us to conduct research on their lands. This work was funded by INIA CRI Kampenaiké, by the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic and by the Botanic Institute Project N° AV0Z60050516 of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic.

### RESUMEN

**Variabilidad de tipos de suelos en las vegas del sur de la Patagonia chilena.** Las praderas húmedas (vegas) de la zona de uso agropecuario de la Región de Magallanes y la Antártica Chilena son ecosistemas productivos e intensamente explotados. No obstante, los conocimientos de los factores edáficos que determinan el potencial de las vegas son escasos. En este trabajo se realizó el muestreo de los horizontes principales de 47 perfiles del suelo de distintos tipos de vegas de la zona. Los perfiles se describieron y se clasificaron siguiendo el sistema de WRB (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006). Se realizaron análisis de densidad aparente, capilaridad, pH al agua, pH al CaCl<sub>2</sub>, textura, materia orgánica, relación C/N, conductividad eléctrica, capacidad intercambio catiónico efectiva (ECEC), N mineral, P, Ca-Mg-K-Na, Al intercambio, Al extractable, azufre SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, B y micronutrientes (Cu-Zn-Mn-Fe). Los grupos de suelos de referencia más comunes en las vegas de la zona son los Histosoles o turbas (20 perfiles) y los Fluvisoles (19). Raramente se pueden detectar los Gleysoles (3), los Vertisoles (1), los Regosoles (2), los Solonchaks (1) y los Solonetz (1). Existe una considerable variabilidad en las propiedades de los suelos de las vegas entre y también dentro de los grupos de referencia. Las mayores diferencias entre los Histosoles y los Fluvisoles estriban en el contenido de materia orgánica y el pH (relacionado con la presencia o ausencia de carbonatos) y en las propiedades del suelo asociadas. Los Fluvisoles tienden a tener problemas de salinización en condiciones de aridez, mientras que el mayor riesgo para los histosoles es el drenaje artificial.

**Palabras clave:** Histosoles, Fluvisoles, turba, propiedades del suelo, salinización, degradación del suelo.

### LITERATURE CITED

- Collantes, M., y A.M. Faggi. 1999. Los humedales del sur de Sudamérica. p. 14-24. *In* Malvárez, A.I. (ed.) Tópicos sobre humedales subtropicales y templados de Sudamérica. UNESCO, Montevideo, Uruguay.
- Covacevich, N., y E. Ruz. 1996. Praderas en la zona austral: XII Región (Magallanes). p. 639-655. *In* Ruiz, I. (ed.) Praderas para Chile. Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA), Santiago, Chile.
- Cruz, G., y A. Lara. 1987. Evaluación de la erosión del área de uso agropecuario de la XII Región de Magallanes y de la Antártica Chilena. Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA), Santiago, Chile.
- Daniels, R., and R. Hammer. 1992. Soil geomorphology. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA.

- Díaz, C., C. Aviles, y R.C. Roberts. 1960. Los grandes grupos de suelos de la Provincia de Magallanes. Estudio preliminar. *Agric. Téc. (Chile)* 19-20:227-308.
- Duchaufour, P. 1987. *Manual de edafología*. Edit. Masson, Barcelona, España.
- FAO. 2006. *Guidelines for soil description*. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. 97 p. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- IUSS Working Group WRB. 2006. *World reference base for soil resources 2006*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. *World Soil Resources Reports* N° 103. 145 p. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Johnston, C., S. Bridgham, and J. Schubauer-Berigan. 2001. Nutrient dynamics in relation to geomorphology of riverine wetlands. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 65:557-577.
- Kleinebecker, T., N. Hölzer, and A. Vogel. 2007. Gradients of continentality and moisture in South Patagonian peatland vegetation. *Folia Geobot.* 42:363-382.
- Kleinebecker, T., N. Hölzer, and A. Vogel. 2008. South Patagonian ombrotrophic bog vegetation reflects biogeochemical gradients at the landscape level. *J. Veg. Sci.* 19:151-160.
- Martinić, M. 1986. *Nogueira, el pionero*. Universidad de Magallanes, Punta Arenas, Chile.
- Pérez, C., N. Covacevich, y R. Lira. 1993. Sistemas de pastoreo en cuatro regiones ecológicas de la región patagónica de Chile. *In* Strauch, O., N. Covacevich, y A. Cárdenas (eds.) *El manejo sustentable de las praderas naturales de Magallanes*. SOCHIPA en Magallanes. 30 años. Serie Actas INIA N° 35. Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias (INIA), Punta Arenas, Chile.
- Ruz, E., y N. Covacevich. 1989. Respuesta de las praderas de Magallanes a la fertilización NPKS y su modificación por factores de suelo y clima. *Agric. Téc. (Chile)* 50:33-42.
- Sáez, C. 1994. *Caracterización de la fertilidad de los suelos de la Región de Magallanes*. Informe Final. Proyecto Fundación Fondo Investigaciones Agropecuarias Universidad de Magallanes, Punta Arenas, Chile.
- SAG. 2003. *El pastizal de Magallanes*. Guía de uso, condición actual y propuesta de seguimiento para determinación de tendencia. Gobierno Regional de Magallanes y Antártica Chilena, Ministerio de Agricultura, Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero (SAG) y Departamento de Protección de los Recursos Naturales Renovables, Punta Arenas, Chile.
- SAG. 2004. *El pastizal de Magallanes*. Guía de uso, condición actual y propuesta de seguimiento para determinación de tendencia. Gobierno Regional de Magallanes y Antártica Chilena, Ministerio de Agricultura, Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero (SAG) y Departamento de Protección de los Recursos Naturales Renovables, Punta Arenas, Chile.
- Schneider, C., M. Glaser, R. Kilian, A. Santana, N. Butorovic, and G. Casassa. 2003. Weather observations across the southern Andes at 53° S. *Phys. Geogr.* 24:97-119.
- Soil Survey Staff. 2006. *Keys to soil taxonomy*. 10<sup>th</sup> ed. USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington, D.C., USA.
- StatSoft. 2007. *STATISTICA*, version 8.0. ČR s.r.o., Prague, Czech Republic.