

## Solutions to problem set 5

Part 1:

---

1-

- a)- True.    b)- False. Basically the Phillips curve vanished after 1970. It is not stable, because it is a function of expected price level.    c)- True. While aggregate supply relation always reflects the reality.  
d)- True.    e)- False. Before 1970, when  $\pi^e = 0$ , then if  $\pi = 0 \rightarrow u = u_n$ .    f)- True.
- 

3-

a)-  $\pi = 0 \rightarrow u = u_n \rightarrow u_n = 0.1/2 = 5\%$

b)-  $\pi_t = 0.1 - 2 u_t \rightarrow \pi_t = 0.1 - 2 * 3\% = 4\%$ ,     $\pi_{t+1} = \pi_{t+2} = \pi_{t+10} = \pi_{t+15} = 4\%$

c)- No. Because,  $\pi_t^e = 0$ , and  $\pi_t = 4\%$  forever, which means people are continuously wrong for ever. This does not seem to be reasonable.

d)- Because, finally, people realize that inflation is positive. But there will not change in natural rate of unemployment.

e)-  $\pi_{t+1} = \pi_{t+2} = \dots = \pi_{t+4} = 4\%$

Year 5, and on:  $\pi_t = \pi_t^e + 0.1 - 2 u_t \rightarrow \pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + 0.1 - 2 u_t$ ,     $\pi_{t+5} = 4\% + 0.1 - (2 * 3\%) \rightarrow \pi_{t+5} = 8\%$

By continuous substitution:  $\pi_{t+10} = \pi_{t+9} + (0.1 - 2 * 3\%) = \pi_{t+8} + 2 * (0.1 - 2 * 3\%) = \dots \rightarrow$

$\pi_{t+10} = \pi_{t+5} + 5 * (0.1 - 2 * 3\%) = 8\% + 5 * (0.1 - 2 * 3\%) = 28\%$ .

$\pi_{t+15} = \pi_{t+10} + 5 * (0.1 - 2 * 3\%) = 28\% + 5 * (0.1 - 2 * 3\%) = 48\%$ .

f)- There is still and always a 4% difference between expected and actual inflation, which is not reasonable.

---

5-

a)-  $\pi_t = \pi_t^e + 0.1 - 2 u_t \rightarrow \pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + 0.1 - 2 u_t$ ,     $\pi_t = 0\% + 0.1 - (2 * 4\%) \rightarrow \pi_t = 2\%$

$\pi_{t+1} = 2\% + 0.1 - (2 * 4\%) \rightarrow \pi_{t+1} = 4\%$      $\pi_{t+2} = 4\% + 0.1 - (2 * 4\%) \rightarrow \pi_{t+2} = 6\%$

$\pi_{t+3} = 6\% + 0.1 - (2 * 4\%) \rightarrow \pi_{t+3} = 8\%$

b)-  $\pi_t^e = 0.5 * \pi_t + 0.5 * \pi_{t-1}$ , and  $\pi_t = \pi_t^e + 0.1 - 2 u_t \rightarrow$  By substitution:  $\pi_t = (0.5 * \pi_t + 0.5 * \pi_{t-1}) + 0.1 - 2 u_t$ ,  
After simplification, we get the new Phillips curve:  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + 0.2 - 4 u_t$

c)-  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} + 0.2 - 4 u_t$ ,     $\pi_t = 0\% + 0.2 - (4 * 4\%) \rightarrow \pi_t = 4\%$

$\pi_{t+1} = 4\% + 0.2 - (4 * 4\%) \rightarrow \pi_{t+1} = 8\%$      $\pi_{t+2} = 8\% + 0.2 - (4 * 4\%) \rightarrow \pi_{t+2} = 12\%$

$\pi_{t+3} = 12\% + 0.2 - (4 * 4\%) \rightarrow \pi_{t+3} = 16\%$

d)- Indexation leads to higher inflation rate at any level of unemployment.

---

4-

a)- Because higher price of oil means higher production cost and higher output price at any given level of wage rate. We can characterize this using higher markup.

b)-  $\pi^e = \pi \rightarrow u = u_n$ . Therefore:  $u_n = 0.04 + 0.05 \mu$

$\rightarrow$  Before the oil shock:  $u_n = 0.04 + 0.05 * 0.2 = 0.05$ . After the oil shock:  $u_n = 0.04 + 0.05 * 0.4 = 0.06$

So, oil shock increases the natural rate of unemployment.

Part 2:

1-

a)- False. Using Okun's law for Canada:  $u_t - u_{t-1} = -0.33(g_{yt} - 3.7\%)$ , output growth rate must be 3.7% to have constant unemployment rate.

b)- True. c)- True. d)- False. The growth rate of the money supply has a crucial role too,  $\pi_t = g_{mt} - g_{yt}$ .

e)- False.  $\pi_t = g_{mt} - g_{yt}$ , so inflation rate is equal to money growth rate minus output growth rate.

f)- True. g)- True. h)- True.

2-

a)-  $u_t - u_{t-1} = -0.33(g_{yt} - 3.7\%) \rightarrow 1\% = -0.33(g_{yt} - 3.7\%) \rightarrow g_{yt} = 0.7\%$ . Output growth rate should be large enough to cover the expansion of the labor force, and increase in the labor productivity.

b)- We need to decrease unemployment 0.25% a year. So:  $-0.25 = -0.33(g_{yt} - 3.7\%) \rightarrow 4.45\%$  a year.

c)- Okun's law changes to:  $u_t - u_{t-1} = -0.33(g_{yt} - 5.7\%)$

3)-

a)-

Phillips curve for the US:  $\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -(u_t - 5\%)$ ,  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} \rightarrow u_t = 5\%$

Phillips curve for Canada:  $\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -0.5(u_t - 8.6\%)$ ,  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} \rightarrow u_t = 8.6\%$

b)-  $u_t - u_{t-1} = -0.4(g_{yt} - 3\%)$ ,  $u_t = u_{t-1} \rightarrow g_{yt} = 3\%$

$\pi_t = g_{mt} - g_{yt}$ ,  $8\% = g_{mt} - 3\% \rightarrow g_{mt} = 11\%$

c)-

$\pi_{t-1} = 8\%$ ,  $u_{t-1} = 5\%$ ,  $g_{yt-1} = 3\%$ ,  $g_{mt-1} = 11\%$

$\pi_t = 4\%$

$\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -(u_t - 5\%) \rightarrow 4\% - 8\% = -(u_t - 5\%) \rightarrow u_t = 9\%$

$u_t - u_{t-1} = -0.4(g_{yt} - 3\%) \rightarrow 9\% - 5\% = -0.4(g_{yt} - 3\%) \rightarrow g_{yt} = -7\%$

$\pi_t = g_{mt} - g_{yt}$ ,  $4\% = g_{mt} + 7\% \rightarrow g_{mt} = -3\%$

$\pi_{t+1} = 4\%$

$\pi_{t+1} - \pi_t = -(u_{t+1} - 5\%) \rightarrow 4\% - 4\% = -(u_{t+1} - 5\%) \rightarrow u_{t+1} = 5\%$

$u_{t+1} - u_t = -0.4(g_{yt+1} - 3\%) \rightarrow 5\% - 9\% = -0.4(g_{yt+1} - 3\%) \rightarrow g_{yt+1} = 13\%$

$\pi_{t+1} = g_{mt+1} - g_{yt+1}$ ,  $4\% = g_{mt+1} - 13\% \rightarrow g_{mt+1} = 17\%$

$\pi_{t+2} = 4\%$

$\pi_{t+2} - \pi_{t+1} = -(u_{t+2} - 5\%) \rightarrow 4\% - 4\% = -(u_{t+2} - 5\%) \rightarrow u_{t+2} = 5\%$

$u_{t+2} - u_{t+1} = -0.4(g_{yt+2} - 3\%) \rightarrow 5\% - 5\% = -0.4(g_{yt+2} - 3\%) \rightarrow g_{yt+2} = 3\%$

$\pi_{t+2} = g_{mt+2} - g_{yt+2}$ ,  $4\% = g_{mt+2} - 3\% \rightarrow g_{mt+2} = 7\%$

4)-

a)-

$$\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -(u_t - 5\%), \quad u_t - u_{t-1} = -0.4 (g_{yt} - 3\%), \quad \pi_t = g_{mt} - g_{yt}$$
$$\rightarrow \pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -(u_t - 5\%), \quad u_t - u_{t-1} = -0.4 (g_{mt} - \pi_t - 3\%)$$

$$\pi_t = 10\%, \quad u_{t-1} = u_t = 5\%, \quad g_{yt-1} = 3\%, \quad g_{mt} = 13\%$$

b)-

$$\pi_{t+1} - \pi_t = -(u_{t+1} - 5\%), \quad u_{t+1} - u_t = -0.4 (g_{mt+1} - \pi_{t+1} - 3\%)$$

$$\pi_{t+1} - 10\% = -(u_{t+1} - 5\%) \quad \rightarrow \quad \pi_{t+1} + u_{t+1} = 15\%$$
$$u_{t+1} - 5\% = -0.4 (0 - \pi_{t+1} - 3\%) \quad \rightarrow \quad u_{t+1} - 0.4 \pi_{t+1} = 6.2\% \quad \rightarrow \quad u_{t+1} = 8.71\%, \quad \pi_{t+1} = 6.29\%$$

$$\pi_{t+2} - \pi_{t+1} = -(u_{t+2} - 5\%), \quad u_{t+2} - u_{t+1} = -0.4 (g_{mt+2} - \pi_{t+2} - 3\%)$$

$$\pi_{t+2} - 6.29\% = -(u_{t+2} - 5\%) \quad \rightarrow \quad \pi_{t+2} + u_{t+2} = 11.29\%$$
$$u_{t+2} - 8.71\% = -0.4 (0 - \pi_{t+2} - 3\%) \quad \rightarrow \quad u_{t+2} - 0.4 \pi_{t+2} = 9.91\% \quad \rightarrow \quad u_{t+2} = 10.3\%, \quad \pi_{t+2} = 1\%$$

$$\text{c)- } \pi_{t+1} - \pi_t = -(u_{t+1} - 5\%), \quad u_{t+1} - u_t = -0.4 (g_{mt+1} - \pi_{t+1} - 3\%)$$

$$\text{In medium run } u_{t+1} = u_t \rightarrow \pi_{t+1} = -3\% \rightarrow u_t = 5\% \rightarrow g_{yt} = 3\%.$$

---

6-

$$\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -(u_t - 8.6\%) + 0.1\mu \rightarrow \pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -(u_t - u_n) \quad \text{and} \quad u_n = 8.6\% + 0.1\mu$$

a)- After the shock, natural rate of unemployment goes up. Therefore, current unemployment would fall below natural rate of unemployment; therefore, inflation starts increasing (see the Phillips Curve form).

b)- They should let the unemployment go up, to higher level of natural rate of unemployment.

---

7-

a)-  $\pi_t = \pi_t^e + K - 2 u_t$ ,  $\pi_t = \pi_t^e \rightarrow$  Natural rate of unemployment:  $u_n = u_t = K/2$

$\pi_t - \pi_t^e = -2(u_t - K/2) \rightarrow$  Sacrifice ratio = 1/2.

Sacrifice ratio does not depend on natural rate of unemployment

b)-  $\pi_t - \pi_t^e = -2(u_t - K/2)$ , and  $\pi_t^e = \pi_{t-1} \rightarrow$

$\pi_t - \pi_{t-1} = -2(u_t - K/2) \rightarrow \pi_t - 12\% = -2 * 1\% \rightarrow \pi_t = 10\%$

$\pi_{t+1} - \pi_t = -2(u_{t+1} - K/2) \rightarrow \pi_{t+1} - 10\% = -2 * 1\% \rightarrow \pi_{t+1} = 8\%$

c)-

$\pi_{t+2} - \pi_{t+1} = -2(u_{t+2} - K/2) \rightarrow \pi_{t+2} - 8\% = -2 * 1\% \rightarrow \pi_{t+2} = 6\%$

$\pi_{t+3} - \pi_{t+2} = -2(u_{t+3} - K/2) \rightarrow \pi_{t+3} - 6\% = -2 * 1\% \rightarrow \pi_{t+3} = 4\%$

$\pi_{t+4} - \pi_{t+3} = -2(u_{t+4} - K/2) \rightarrow \pi_{t+4} - 4\% = -2 * 1\% \rightarrow \pi_{t+4} = 2\%$

So, The authorities need to hold on the policy for 5 year, from t to t+4.

Sacrifice ratio= 5 point years of excess unemployment/10 percentage point reduction in inflation rate=0.5

Which is consistent with the sacrifice ratio calculated from Phillips curve in part (a).

d)-  $\pi_t - \pi_t^e = -2(u_t - K/2)$ , and  $\pi_t = \pi_{t-1} \rightarrow \pi_t^e = 0.25 * 2\% + 0.75 * \pi_{t-1} \rightarrow \pi_t^e = 0.5\% + 0.75 * \pi_{t-1}$

$\pi_t - 0.5\% - 0.75 * \pi_{t-1} = -2(u_t - K/2) \rightarrow \pi_t - 0.75 * \pi_{t-1} = -2(u_t - K/2) + 0.5\%$

$\pi_t - 0.75 * \pi_{t-1} = -2(u_t - K/2) + 0.5\% \rightarrow \pi_t - 0.75 * 12\% = -2 * 1\% + 0.5\% \rightarrow \pi_t = 7.5\%$

$\pi_{t+1} - 0.75 * \pi_t = -2(u_{t+1} - K/2) + 0.5\% \rightarrow \pi_{t+1} - 0.75 * 7.5\% = -2 * 1\% + 0.5\% \rightarrow \pi_{t+1} = 4.125\%$

$\pi_{t+2} - 0.75 * \pi_{t+1} = -2(u_{t+2} - K/2) + 0.5\% \rightarrow \pi_{t+2} - 0.75 * 4.125\% = -2 * 1\% + 0.5\% \rightarrow \pi_{t+2} = 1.594\%$

So, The authorities need to hold on the policy for 3 year, from t to t+2.

Sacrifice ratio= 3 point years of excess unemployment/10 percentage point reduction in inflation rate=0.3

Which is less than the sacrifice ratio calculated from Phillips curve in part (a).

e)- At the same time t+1, authorities can return to natural rate of unemployment.

$\pi_{t+1} = \pi_{t+1}^e = 2\%$ ,  $u_n = u_{t+1} = K/2$

f)- They need to make sure to their policy has the highest credibility.

---