

Nonendodontic periapical lesions: a retrospective study in Chile

A. Ortega, V. Fariña, A. Gallardo, I. Espinoza & S. Acosta

Department of Oral Pathology and Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Odontology, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

Abstract

Ortega A, Fariña V, Gallardo A, Espinoza I, Acosta S.

Nonendodontic periapical lesions: a retrospective study in Chile. *International Endodontic Journal*, **40**, 386–390, 2007.

Aim To determine the frequency with which the histopathological diagnosis of periapical lesions contributes to a change in the clinical diagnosis.

Methodology Cases having a clinical diagnosis of disease resulting from dental pulp necrosis were selected from the database of the Oral Pathology Reference Institute between 1975 and 2005. Cases with different histopathological diagnoses were determined and information about age and gender of the patient, location of associated tooth, pulp status and the histopathological diagnosis were recorded. The percentage of nonendodontic periapical lesions was then determined.

Results In the 30-year period, 43 706 biopsy specimens were received. Overall 4006 (9.13%) had a clinical diagnosis of pulpal necrosis with associated pathosis in the periradicular area. Within this group,

26 cases (0.65%) had a histopathological diagnosis of nonendodontic pathology. Keratocystic odontogenic tumour was the most frequent nonendodontic lesion (11 cases) in the periradicular region followed by central giant cell granuloma (three cases), chronic sinusitis (three cases) and one case each of the following lesions: nasopalatine duct cyst, lateral periodontal cyst, calcifying cystic odontogenic tumour, ameloblastic fibroma, squamous odontogenic tumour, cemental dysplasia, haemangioma, foreign body cell granuloma and amalgam tattoo.

Conclusions The histopathological study of periapical pathosis can occasionally reveal nonendodontic lesions. Odontogenic tumours made up the largest group.

Keywords: keratocystic odontogenic tumour, periapical misdiagnosis, periapical lesions.

Received 18 May 2006; accepted 26 October 2006

Introduction

Most pathosis in the periapical region is a consequence of dental pulp necrosis. However, there are other pathoses that occur in this site with no relationship to the pulp condition (Dahl 1991, Kuc *et al.* 2000, Peters & Lau 2003). These nonendodontic lesions will not heal following root canal treatment.

The distinction between endodontic and nonendodontic lesions in a periapical area is important as a variety of pathologic alterations have been reported for the latter; such as keratocystic odontogenic tumours

(KCOTs) (previously named odontogenic keratocyst) (Garlock *et al.* 1998, Ali & Baughman 2003), ameloblastoma (Cunha *et al.* 2005), central giant cell granuloma (CGCG) (or central giant cell lesion) (Dahlkemper *et al.* 2000, Lombardi *et al.* 2006) intraosseous haemangioma (Orsini *et al.* 2000) and malignancies such as carcinoma of the antrum (Copeland 1980) and central adenoid cystic carcinoma (Favia *et al.* 2000). These pathoses behave differently and require treatment linked to other specialists; such as maxillofacial surgeons, oncologists, etc.

The purposes of this retrospective study were to determine: (i) the frequency with which histopathological diagnosis of periapical lesions change the clinical diagnosis and (ii) the incidence of different lesions in specimens diagnosed at the Oral Pathology Reference

Correspondence: Ana Ortega, Olivos 943, Independencia, Santiago, Chile (Tel.: 56 2 9781810; fax: 56 2 9781748; e-mail: aveortega@lycos.com).

Institute (IREPO) of the Dental Faculty, University of Chile.

Material and methods

All periapical biopsy specimens with a clinical diagnosis resulting from pulp necrosis between 1975 and 2005 were taken from the IREPO archives. The cases with a histopathological diagnosis of nonendodontic lesions were selected and their clinical and histopathological information was reviewed for an update of biopsy diagnoses according to the World Health Organization Classification of Tumours (WHO) 2005. Clinical data included age and gender of the patient, location and size of the lesion, pulp status, clinical and histopathological diagnosis.

Results

Of the 43 706 biopsies of the IREPO between 1975 and 2005, 4006 (9.13%) had a clinical diagnosis associated with a sequelae of pulp necrosis in the periapical area. Of these, 26 cases (0.65%) had a histopathological diagnosis of nonendodontic pathosis (Table 1). Information on dental status obtained from the archives of these 26 cases was: pulp necrosis in five cases, root-filled tooth in five cases and undetermined status in 16 cases.

According to the World Health Organization Classification of Tumours (WHO) 2005 classification, 14 lesions were odontogenic tumours as follows: 11 KCOTs, one ameloblastic fibroma, one calcifying cystic odontogenic tumour (Gorlin cyst) and one squamous odontogenic tumour.

Two cysts were found, one lateral periodontal cyst and the other a nasopalatine duct cyst. The other nine lesions were: three chronic sinusitis, three CGCG, one haemangioma, one amalgam tattoo, one cemental dysplasia (periapical osseous dysplasia) and one case of foreign body granuloma. The largest lesions were one KLOT and one CGCG, both involving five teeth.

From the 26 histopathological diagnoses of non-endodontic lesions the most common were KCOTs (42.3%), observed in eight women and three men with an age range of 14–73 years. Of these 11 KCOTs six were located in the maxilla, five of them in the anterior portion of the maxilla and one in the posterior portion; the other five were in the molar region of the mandible.

Table 2 shows the distribution of nonendodontic periapical lesions in the present study and a Canadian study (Kuc *et al.* 2000). The incidence of these lesions, are expressed as a percentage of the total number of cases with pulp necrosis with periradicular pathosis sent for a histopathological diagnosis. No malignant lesions were found.

Discussion

Most studies of periapical lesions show that between 75% and 95% are complications resulting from pulp necrosis and that the majority would respond to conservative root canal treatment (Kuc *et al.* 2000, Peters & Lau 2003). For example, in 70% of cases (Murphy *et al.* 1991) healing of these periapical radiolucencies occurred at least 12 months after nonsurgical root canal treatment. Some studies have reported pathologic entities associated with root apices examples being: KCOT (Nohl & Gulabivala 1996, Garlock

Table 1 Distribution of nonendodontic periapical lesions and clinical parameters

Histologic diagnosis	Cases, n (%)	Gender		Age range (years)	Location	
		F	M		Maxilla	Mandible
Keratocystic odontogenic tumour	11 (42.3)	8	3	14–73	6	5
Chronic sinusitis	3 (11.5)	2	1	12–53	3	
Central giant cell granulomas	3 (11.5)	1	2	40–76	2	1
Nasopalatine duct cyst	1 (3.8)	0	1	40	1	
Foreign body granuloma	1 (3.8)	1	0	74	1	
Calcifying cystic odontogenic tumour	1 (3.8)	1	0	39		1
Cemental dysplasia periapical	1 (3.8)	1	0	52	1	
Periodontal lateral cyst	1 (3.8)	0	1	31	1	
Haemangioma	1 (3.8)	0	1	21		1
Amalgam tattoo	1 (3.8)	1	0	50	1	
Ameloblastic fibroma	1 (3.8)	0	1	9		1
Squamous odontogenic tumour	1 (3.8)	0	1	29	1	
Total	26 (100)	15	11	9–76	16	10

Table 2 Comparison of two series of nonendodontic periapical lesions

	Present study (2007) (Chile), n (%)	Kuc et al. (2000) (Canada), n (%)
Histologic diagnosis		
Keratocystic odontogenic tumour	11 (0.27)	0 (0)
Chronic sinusitis	3 (0.07)	0 (0)
Central giant cell granulomas	3 (0.07)	2 (0.25)
Nasopalatine duct cyst	1 (0.02)	1 (0.2)
Calcifying cyst odontogenic tumour	1 (0.02)	0 (0)
Foreign body granuloma	1 (0.02)	0 (0)
Periodontal lateral cyst	1 (0.02)	1 (0.12)
Ameloblastic fibroma	1 (0.02)	0 (0)
Haemangioma	1 (0.02)	0 (0)
Amalgam tattoo	1 (0.02)	0 (0)
Cemental dysplasia periapical	1 (0.02)	0 (0)
Squamous odontogenic tumour	1 (0.02)	0 (0)
Fibro-osseous lesion	0 (0)	1 (0.12)
Calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumour	0 (0)	1 (0.12)
Myxoma odontogenic	0 (0)	1 (0.12)
Multiple myeloma	0 (0)	1 (0.12)
Total	26 (0.65)	8 (1)

et al. 1998, Ali & Baughman 2003), CGCG (Dahlkemper et al. 2000, Lombardi et al. 2006), ameloblastoma (Navarro et al. 2004, Cunha et al. 2005), paradental cyst (Silva et al. 2003), haemangioma (Orsini et al. 2000), glandular tissue (Childers et al. 1990), squamous cell carcinoma (Copeland 1980) and adenoid cyst carcinoma (Favia et al. 2000).

The present retrospective study found that KCOTs have a larger potential for endodontic misdiagnosis. This finding is supported by Stajcic & Paljm (1987) who by histological means, studied 565 specimens of the periapical area around teeth with necrotic pulps that were either root filled or extracted. They found that odontogenic keratocysts represented 0.7% of the clinically and radiographically diagnosed radicular cysts.

Epidemiological reports, before the World Health Organization Classification of Tumours (WHO) 2005 classification, described keratocysts as the second most frequent developmental odontogenic cyst after the dentigerous cyst (Mosqueda et al. 2002).

It has been reported that 20% of maxillary KCOT's were in a periapical region. However, if both jaws are included the incidence in a periapical site decreases to 9% (Garlock et al. 1998). In the present study, no substantial differences were found between jaws, six cases were seen in the maxilla and five cases in the mandible. The KCOT is located in the posterior mandible in approximately 60–80% of cases (Neville et al.

2002). When this tumour is located in the maxilla, the canine region is the most frequently affected, 41% (Ali & Baughman 2003). This low frequency in the maxilla may have contributed to clinical misdiagnoses. However, in this study the large size of the KCOT was the main clinical feature signalling a different lesion.

Keratocystic odontogenic tumour is important because of its frequent recurrence and aggressive behaviour (Garlock et al. 1998, Peters & Lau 2003). Moreover, it could be associated with nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome or Gorlin syndrome (Neville et al. 2002). In the present study one recurring case was found after 8 years, no cases were associated with the syndrome.

The misdiagnosis of CGCG as an odontogenic cyst has been reported in previous studies (Dahlkemper et al. 2000, Lombardi et al. 2006). Dahlkemper et al. (2000) studied data of biopsy specimens diagnosed as CGCG, and found 20% associated with pulp necrosis; of these cases, 88% received root canal treatment prior to the final diagnosis. In the Lombardi et al. (2006) study two of four cases had received root canal treatment.

In the three cases of sinusitis found in this study, the possibility of an oral/dental infection could not be excluded. Although maxillary sinusitis is primarily considered to be of rhinogenous origin, dental infection can be a pre-disposing factor (Garming et al. 2004).

The 0.65% of nonendodontic periapical lesions found in this study is close to the 1% found in the study of Kuc et al. (2000) in which the specimens were also from an Oral Pathology Laboratory at Alberta University, Canada.

In at least two-thirds of the cases the teeth near the lesion had necrotic pulp or had received root canal treatment, thus there is a substantial coincidence of pulp and periapical pathosis with nonendodontic pathosis.

The histopathological diagnosis of periradicular lesions has been a subject of extensive debate (Walton 1998, 1999, 2000, Baughman 1999, Ellis 1999, Newton 1999, Ramer 1999, Summerlin 1999, Talacko et al. 2000). Although in this work the percentage of nonendodontic periapical lesions was low and only nonmalignancies were found, the consequences of these pathoses for the patient can be severe and therefore histopathological examination of all surgical specimens should be performed. Periapical radiolucencies that do not heal after root canal treatment or with an incomplete clinical history or with unusual radiographic image should receive surgical treatment and biopsy.

Conclusion

These results emphasize the importance of following up patients with periapical radiolucencies who have received root canal treatment and the need for histopathological study of every periapical lesions that is refractory to root canal treatment.

The histopathological study of periapical lesions can occasionally reveal nonendodontic lesions with different clinical prognosis. The odontogenic tumours make up the largest group, with the keratocystic odontogenic tumour being the most frequent nonendodontic lesion.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by PRI: ODO/06/02 of the Faculty of Odontology, University of Chile.

References

- Ali M, Baughman R (2003) Maxillary odontogenic keratocyst: a common and serious clinical misdiagnosis. *Journal of the American Dental Association* **134**, 877–83.
- Baughman RA (1999) To biopsy or not. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **87**, 644–5 (Letter).
- Childers E, Johnson J, Warnock G, Kratochvil F (1990) Asymptomatic periapical radiolucent lesion found in an area of previous trauma. *Journal of the American Dental Association* **121**, 759–60.
- Copeland R (1980) Carcinoma of the antrum mimicking periapical pathology of pulpal origin: a case report. *Journal of Endodontics* **6**, 655–6.
- Cunha EM, Fernandes AV, Versiani MA, Loyola AM (2005) Unicystic ameloblastoma: a possible pitfall in periapical diagnosis. *International Endodontic Journal* **38**, 334–40.
- Dahl E (1991) Diagnosing Inflammatory and non-inflammatory periapical disease. *Journal of the Indiana Dental Association* **70**, 22–6.
- Dahlkemper P, Wolcott J, Pringle A, Hicks L (2000) Periapical central giant cell granuloma: a potential endodontic misdiagnosis. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontology* **90**, 739–45.
- Ellis GL (1999) To biopsy or not. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **87**, 642 (Letter).
- Favia G, Maiorano E, Orsini G, Piattelli A (2000) Central (intraosseous) adenoid cystic carcinoma of the mandible: report of a case with periapical involvement. *Journal of Endodontics* **26**, 760–3.
- Garlock J, Pringle A, Hicks L (1998) The odontogenic keratocyst: a potential endodontic misdiagnosis. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **85**, 452–6.
- Garming K, Zimmermann M, Stierna P (2004) Sinusitis of odontogenic origin: pathophysiological implications of early treatment. *Acta Otolaryngologica* **124**, 655–63.
- Kuc I, Peters E, Pan J (2000) Comparison of clinical and histologic diagnoses in periapical lesions. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **89**, 333–7.
- Lombardi T, Bischof M, Nedir R et al. (2006) Periapical central giant cell granuloma misdiagnosed as odontogenic cyst. *International Endodontic Journal* **39**, 510–5.
- Mosqueda A, Irigoyen M, Díaz M, Torres M (2002) Quistes odontogénicos. Análisis de 856 casos. *Medicina Oral* **7**, 89–96.
- Murphy W, Kaugars G, Collet W, Dodds N (1991) Healing of periapical radiolucencies after nonsurgical endodontic therapy. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **71**, 620–4.
- Navarro C, Principi S, Massucato E, Sposto M (2004) Maxillary unicystic ameloblastoma. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiology* **33**, 60–2.
- Neville B, Damm D, Allen C, Bouquet J (2002) Odontogenic cysts and tumors XV. In: Neville B, Damm D, Allen C, Bouquet J, eds. *Oral & Maxillofacial Pathology, 2nd edn*. Philadelphia, USA: W.B. Saunders Company, pp. 594–7.
- Newton CW (1999) To biopsy or not. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **87**, 642 (Letter).
- Nohl F, Gulabivala K (1996) Odontogenic keratocyst as periradicular radiolucency in the anterior mandible: two case reports. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **81**, 103–9.
- Orsini G, Fioroni M, Rubini C, Piattelli A (2000) Hemangioma of the mandible presenting as a periapical radiolucency. *Journal of Endodontics* **26**, 621–2.
- Peters E, Lau M (2003) Histopathologic examination to confirm diagnosis of periapical lesions: a review. *Journal of the Canadian Dental Association* **69**, 598–600.
- Ramer M (1999) To biopsy or not. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **87**, 643–4 (Letter).
- Silva T, Batista A, Camarini E, Lara V, Consolaro A (2003) Paradental cyst mimicking a radicular cyst on the adjacent tooth: case report and review of terminology. *Journal of Endodontics* **29**, 73–6.
- Stajcic Z, Paljm A (1987) Keratinization of radicular cyst epithelial lining or occurrence of odontogenic keratocyst in the periapical region? *International Journal of Oral Maxillofacial Surgery* **16**, 593–5.
- Summerlin DJ (1999) Periapical biopsy or not. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **88**, 645–6 (Letter).
- Talacko A, Aldred M, Abbot P, Smith A, Nerwich A (2000) Periapical biopsy? *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **89**, 532–3 (Letter).

- Walton RE (1998) Routine histopathologic examination of endodontic periradicular surgical specimens – is it warranted? *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **86**, 505.
- Walton RE, (1999) To biopsy or not. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **87**, 645–6 (Reply).
- Walton RE (2000) Periapical biopsy? *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, Endodontics* **89**, 533–4 (Reply).

World Health Organization Classification of Tumours (WHO) (2005) Odontogenic tumours VI. In: Barnes L, Eveson JW, Reichart P, Sidransky X, eds. *Pathology & Genetics of Head and Neck Tumours*, 1st edn. Lyon, France: IARC Press, pp. 284–327.